Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,786	SHARRAH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ephrem Alemu	2821	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Ephrem Alemu</u> .	. (3)		
(2) <u>Clement A. Berard</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 4 January 2007	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 21 and 33	•		
Prior art documents discussed:  McDermott (US 6,091,238)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	. The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substant of the interview, since the intervie	се
		•	٠
· 			
(5 · (05 · 0)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Fig.8 of McDermott reference with respect to claim 33 of applicants' invention. It is agreed upon that McDermont does not discloses a second transistor as claimed in claim 33. Permission to make change to claim 21 is shown in the attached Examiner's Amendement.